Engagement: Defining and protecting core values

ASPA PRESIDENT'S COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL SCHOLARLY ENGAGEMENT

19 MARCH 2022

1

The problem

- ▶ Engagement with scholars in other jurisdictions is essential
- Requires open-mindedness, respect for self-determination
- But governments in other jurisdictions often disrespect democracy, human rights and academic freedom
- ► Engagement might:
 - ▶ Seem like an endorsement of objectionable practices
 - ▶ Support the continuation of objectionable practices
 - Result in the dissemination of flawed and biased research
 - ▶ Put individuals at risk of retribution
- This problem appears to be growing, not shrinking
- Presently, we
 - ▶ React to controversies rather than anticipating
 - ▶ Fail to treat cases consistently

Some current illustrations

- Should ASPA make a statement about the Russian invasion of Ukraine?
- ▶ Suppose ASPA was scheduled to hold a joint online conference with Russian scholars should it cancel?
- ▶ Suppose a US school was training Russian officials in a field unrelated to conflict should it continue?
- Suppose PAR received a manuscript about the administration of occupied territories – should it review?
- ▶ Suppose an international accrediting body received an application from a Russian program should it proceed with accreditation process?

3

The committee and its aims

- ▶ An international committee of scholars in public affairs
- ▶ Premise -- we will do better if we:
 - ► Anticipate challenges in engagement
 - ▶ Develop a framework for identifying & weighing core values
 - Develop routines for deciding consistently and transparently about cases
- ▶ Aim: to frame and advance conversation
- ▶ The aim is <u>not</u> to set rules about engagement
- ▶ We are <u>not</u> interested only in US engagement abroad
- ▶ We do not assume that any country is above criticism
- Our work may be relevant within countries too

Work plan

- ► Committee established February 2022
- ▶ Terms of reference available
- ▶ Plan to hold discussions throughout 2022
 - ▶ Webinar April 20
- ▶ Committee will prepare and circulate discussion document
- ► Final report January 2023

5

Today's session

- ▶ Breakout groups
- ▶ Each group has a different scenario
- Each group has a Committee member as rapporteur
- ▶ Take 20 minutes to consider the scenario:
 - What values/considerations influence your decision?
 - ▶ Who should be involved in the decision?
 - ▶ What's the right thing to do and why?
- ▶ Report back and discussion

April 20 webinar

- ▶ Details will be circulated
- ▶ Wednesday April 20 10AM-11AM EDT
- ▶ Panelists:
- Professor Corinne Lennox, Co-Director, Human Rights Consortium, University of London; Member, UK Academic Freedom and Internationalisation Working Group
- Chelsea Blackburn Cohen, Acting Director, Membership & University Relations, Scholars at Risk, New York